```
1 LAURA E DUFFY
   United States Attorney
   CAROLINE P. HAN
3 |
  Assistant U.S. Attorney
   California Bar No.: 250301
   880 Front Street, Room 6293
   San Diego, CA 92101
   Tel: (619) 546-6968
6
   Fax: (619) 546-0831
   Email: caroline.han@usdoj.gov
7
8
   Attorneys for the United States
9
                  UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10
                SOUTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11
12
   UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
                              Case No.: 13CR1514-JM
13
           Plaintiff,
14
                              UNITED
                                      STATES' RESPONSE
                                                          AND
       V.
                                            TO
15
                              OPPOSITION
                                                  DEFENDANT'S
                              MOTION FOR NOTICE PURSUANT TO
16 | ABDIAZIZ HUSSEIN,
                              50 U.S.C. §§ 1806(c), 1881e(a)
           Defendant.
17
18
19
       COMES NOW the UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, by and
20
   through its counsel, Laura E. Duffy, United
                                                       States
21
   Attorney, Caroline P. Han, Assistant United
                                                       States
22
23
   Attorney, and respectfully submits the following Response
24
   and Opposition to Defendant's Motion for Notice Pursuant
25
   to 50 U.S.C. §§ 1806(c), 1881e(a).
26
27
   //
28
   //
```

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

The defendant has moved the Court to compel the the defendant United States to inform whether the electronic surveillance the United States intends to use against the defendant was "(1)...conducted pursuant to the pre-2008 provisions of FISA or, instead the FAA; and (2) whether any FISA order was granted in reliance on information obtained or derived from an FAA surveillance The basis for his motion order." be appears to speculation resulting from filings in both related and unrelated cases. [Def. Mtn. 9-10.] For the reasons set forth below, the United States has provided the defendant with proper notice and the defendant's motion should be denied.1

When the government "intends to enter into evidence or otherwise use or disclose" any "information obtained or derived from" electronic surveillance or physical search conducted pursuant to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Act (FISA) against an aggrieved person² in a

The defendant has made a number of assertions in support of his motion; without addressing the accuracy or merits of all of these individually, the United States submits that the motion can be resolved - and denied - on the grounds set forth herein.

² An "aggrieved person" is the target of the electronic surveillance or "any other person whose communications or activities were

23

24

25

26

27

28

criminal case (as well as in other proceedings), it must provide notice to that individual. 50 U.S.C. §§ 1806(c); 1825(d); 1881e.

The government's notice obligations regarding its use of FISA information under §§ 1806, 1825, and 1881e apply only if the government(1) "intends to enter into evidence or otherwise use or disclose" (2) "against an aggrieved person" (3) in a "trial, hearing or other proceeding in any court, department, officer, agency, or before regulatory body, or other authority of the United States" (4) any "information obtained or derived from" (5) an "electronic surveillance [or physical search] of that aggrieved person." 50 U.S.C. § 1806(c); see 50 U.S.C. § 1825(d). Where all five criteria are met, the government will notify the defense and the other Court (or authority) in which the information is to be disclosed or used that the United States intends to use or disclose such information.

subject to electronic surveillance." 50 U.S.C. §1801 (k). A person is also aggrieved if his or her "premises, property, information, or material is the target of physical search" or subject to physical search." 50 U.S.C. § 1821(2).

24

25

26

27

28

required by 50 U.S.C. §§ 1806(c), the United As States has notified the defense and this Court that it against the defendant in this case intends to use evidence obtained or derived from electronic surveillance under Title I of FISA, 50 U.S.C. §§ 1801-1812.³ The United States would likewise provide notice to the defense and this Court if the United States intended to use in this case any information obtained or derived from surveillance authorized under Title VII of FISA (the FISA Amendments Act or FAA) (i.e., a Section 702 Order), 50 U.S.C. § 1881a et seq., as to which the defendant is an aggrieved person. No such notice has been provided. Nor should such notice be provided in this case because the United States does not intend to use any such evidence obtained or derived from FAA-authorized surveillance in the course of this prosecution.

To the extent defendant seeks to know what information may or may not have been presented to the Foreign Intelligence Surveillance Court (FISC) to obtain

³ The FISA Notice apprised the defendant that the United States intended to use evidence obtained or derived from electronic surveillance and physical search against the defendant; however, to clarify, the United States does not intend to use evidence obtained or derived from physical search against the defendant.

Rather, section 1806(f) of FISA provides the only process

by which a defendant may seek to discover applications,

orders, and other related materials provided to the FISC. 4

Section 1806(f) also provides for an <u>ex parte</u>, <u>in</u> <u>camera</u>

review by the Court of the classified materials submitted

by the government in response to a defendant's motion to

disclose FISA materials, to determine if such disclosures

are necessary. 5

14 | /

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

⁴See 50 U.S.C. § 1806(f) (describing the process that is followed when an aggrieved person files a motion "to discover or obtain applications or orders or other materials relating to electronic surveillance or to discover, obtain, or suppress evidence or information obtained or derived from electronic surveillance under the Act").

⁵Section 1806(f) permits the Court to order disclosure of FISA materials to the defendant only if the Court determines, after considering the government's classified filing ex parte and in camera, that doing so is "necessary to make an accurate determination of the legality of the surveillance." Section 1806(g) permits the Court to order disclosure of any FISA materials as required by due process. Those (and their parallel provisions for physical searches) are the only statutory bases on which the Court may order disclosure of FISA materials, and they do not come into play until after the defendant files the appropriate motion and the Court considers the government's classified response and attachments. Upon such review, no court has ever required the government to disclose the information that was presented to the FISC to obtain a FISA warrant or order. In re Grand Jury Proceedings of the Special Apr. 2002 Grand Jury, 347 F.3d 197, 203 (7th Cir. 2003) (noting no court has ever ordered disclosure of FISA materials).

CONCLUSION

-	l											
2		For t	he :	reason	ıs sta	ted	above,	the	Court	shou	ıld d	leny
3	the defendant's motion.											
4												
5		DATED	: 0	ctober	11,	2013		Resp	pectfu	illy s	ubmi	tted,
6								T 7\TTE	ZA E.	רים ביות	-	
7									ed St			rney
8												
9								/s/C	aroli	ne P.	Han	<u>.</u>
10									LINE			0.7070.07.7
11								ASSI	stant	. 0.5.	ALL	orney
12												
13												
14												
15												
16												
17												
18												
19												
20												
21												
22												
23												
24												
25												
26												

27

28